

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
JOSEPH A. FERRARA, SR., FRANK H. FINKEL,  
MARC HERBST, THOMAS N. PIALI, DENISE,  
RICHARDSON, ANTHONY D'AQUILA, THOMAS  
GESUALDI, LOUIS BISIGNANO, DOMINICK  
MARROCCO, and ANTHONY PIROZZI, as Trustees  
and Fiduciaries of the Local 282 Welfare Trust Fund,  
the Local 282 Pension Trust Fund, the Local 282 Annuity  
Trust Fund, the Local 282 Job Training Trust Fund, and  
the Local 282 Vacation and Sick Leave Trust Fund,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

EAST COAST CONTRACTING INC.,

Defendant.

-----X  
**APPEARANCES:**

**Cohen, Weiss and Simon, LLP**

*Attorneys for the plaintiffs*

330 West 42nd Street

New York, NY 10036

By: Elizabeth O'Leary, Esq.  
Michael Seth Adler, Esq., of Counsel

**NO APPEARANCE**

East Coast Contracting, Inc.

**SPATT, District Judge.**

The plaintiffs commenced this action on or about August 6, 2009, asserting claims for damages and injunctive relief pursuant to Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA") as amended, 29 U.S.C. 1001 *et seq.* On September 10, 2010, the Court entered a

**ORDER**

09-cv-3410 (ADS)  
(WDW)

default judgment against the defendant East Coast Contracting, Inc. (“East Coast”), and referred the matter to United States Magistrate Judge William D. Wall for an inquest as to damages. On December 7, 2010, Judge Wall issued a thorough Report recommending that the plaintiff be awarded damages in the amount of: (1) unpaid contributions in the amount of \$254.26 for the period covering November 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006; (2) \$220.94 in interest on the contributions through September 28, 2010 and additional interest accruing from September 29, 2010 through the date of payment at a daily rate of \$0.12; (3) liquidated damages in an amount equal to the amount of interest; (4) attorneys fees in the amount of \$5,950.90; and (5) costs in the amount of \$583.40. To date, no objection has been filed to Judge Wall’s Report and Recommendation.

In reviewing a report and recommendation, a court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). “To accept the report and recommendation of a magistrate, to which no timely objection has been made, a district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record.” Wilds v. United Parcel Serv., 262 F. Supp. 2d 163, 169 (S.D.N.Y. 2003) (citing Nelson v. Smith, 618 F. Supp. 1186, 1189 (S.D.N.Y. 1985)). The Court has reviewed Judge Wall’s Report and finds it be persuasive and without any legal or factual errors. There being no objection to Judge Wall’s Report, the Court adopts the Report.

For the foregoing reasons, it is hereby:

**ORDERED** that Judge Wall's Report and Recommendation is adopted in its entirety, and it is further

**ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court is directed to enter a default judgment against East Coast in the amount of \$475.20 in damages, plus \$0.12 in interest for each day after May 14, 2010 until judgment is entered, plus liquidated damages of \$220.94 and an additional \$0.12 for each day after May 14, 2010 until judgment is entered, and \$6,534.30 in attorneys' fees and costs; and it is further

**ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court is respectfully directed to close this case.

**SO ORDERED.**

Dated: Central Islip, New York  
January 11, 2011

/s/ Arthur D. Spatt  
ARTHUR D. SPATT  
United States District Judge